UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,297	11/07/2001	Dongyun Lee	594728112US	1257

25096	7590	02/21/2006

PERKINS COIE LLP
PATENT-SEA
P.O. BOX 1247
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247

EXAMINER
VITAL, PIERRE M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2188	

DATE MAILED: 02/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE _3_ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _16 January 2006_.

2a)☒ This action is **FINAL**.   2b)☐ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) _1-36_ is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☒ Claim(s) _4 and 23_ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) _1-3,5-22 and 24-36_ is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on _07 November 2001_ is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

      1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

      2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

      3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1)☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2)☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3)☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4)☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .

5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6)☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1.   This Office Action is in response to applicant's communication filed January 6, 2006 in response to PTO Office Action mailed September 7, 2005. The Applicant's remarks and amendments to the claims and/or the specification were considered with the results that follow.

2.   In response to the last Office Action, claims 1 and 19 have been amended. No claims have been canceled. No claims have been added. As a result, claims 1-36 remain pending in this application.

3.   Applicant's amendment filed on January 6, 2006 in response to the office action mailed on September 5, 2005 necessitates new ground(s) of rejection as presented below in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

4.   Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5-22 and 24-36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5.   The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set
> forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and
> the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the
> invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.
> Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6.   Claims 1, 2, 5-7, 8, 10-21 and 24-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as

being unpatentable over Sonnier et al (US 5,574,849) and Davidson et al (US

6,826,199) and Knecht et al (US 5,592,487).

As per claim 1, Sonnier discloses a memory device comprising:

a memory [*memory 28*; Fig. 2]; and

a plurality of ports for accessing the memory of the memory device [*ports 0-5 of

router 14 and X and Y ports of CPU 12*; Figs. 1A, 1B], each port having a bit serial

communications link for receiving from and transmitting to an accessing device [*TNet

links LA connecting routers 14A and 14B*; Fig. 1B], each port using plesiosynchronous

technique to receive symbols and using in-band symbols to transmit data [*command/data

symbols to be transmitted out of X and Y encoders*; col. 27, lines 30-38; *T_clock and Rcv clock are of

the same frequency*; col. 68, lines 62-65; *the clock signal accompanies the symbol stream*; col. 68,

lines 47-50]; and out-of-band symbols to transmit control information [*Y encoder transmits

IDLE symbols or other symbols used to perform control functions*; col. 28, lines 31-35].

However, Sonnier does not specifically teach using a plesiosynchronous technique without transmitting a clock signal and the bits of each symbol are received and transmitted serially as recited in the claim.

Davidson discloses a bit stream having an inherent clock or plesiochronous clock that is neither synchronous nor asynchronous (column 1, lines 28-30) thereby providing the ability to switch separate, incoming traffic streams carrying data at different rates without affecting the clock (column 1, lines 14-16, 58-59).

Regarding claim 1, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention by applicant to modify the system of Sonnier to include using a plesiosynchronous technique without transmitting a clock signal in the system of Sonnier because it was well known to provide the ability to switch separate, incoming traffic streams carrying data at different rates (column 1, lines 14-16, 58-59) as taught by Davidson.

Knecht discloses serially receiving and transmitting bits of each symbol (column 7, lines 37-42).

Further regarding claim 1, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention by applicant to modify the system of Sonnier and Davidson to include serially receiving and transmitting bits of each symbol in order to

provide a high speed serial data transfer requiring minimal overhead (column 1, lines
65-67) as taught by Knecht.

As per claim 19, Sonnier discloses a memory device comprising: a memory that
reads and writes data [*memory 28*; Fig. 2]; a multiphase clock generator that provides a
multiphase clock signal [*clock generator 654*; Figs. 24 and 25; *phase comparator 660 detects a
phase difference*; col. 67, lines 17-29]; and a plurality of ports [*ports 0-5 of router 14 and X and Y
ports of CPU 12*; Figs. 1A, 1B], each port for connecting to a serial communications link
and for receiving data and control information via the serial communications link using a
plesiosynchronous technique [*command/data symbols to be transmitted out of X and Y encoders*;
col. 27, lines 30-38; *Y encoder transmits IDLE symbols or other symbols used to perform control
functions*; col. 28, lines 31-35; *T_clock and Rcv clock are of the same frequency*; col. 68, lines 62-
65], wherein each port uses the generated multiphase clock signal generated by the
multiphase clock generator [*clock oscillator 652 is used for developing the M_clock signal for both
CPUs 12*; col. 67, lines 40-59].

However, Sonnier does not specifically teach using a plesiosynchronous
technique without transmitting a clock signal and the bits of each symbol are received
and transmitted serially as recited in the claim.

Davidson discloses a bit stream having an inherent clock or plesiochronous clock
that is neither synchronous nor asynchronous (column 1, lines 28-30) thereby providing

the ability to switch separate, incoming traffic streams carrying data at different rates

without affecting the clock (column 1, lines 14-16, 58-59).

Regarding claim 19, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art,

at the time of invention by applicant to modify the system of Sonnier to include using a

plesiosynchronous technique without transmitting a clock signal in the system of

Sonnier because it was well known to provide the ability to switch separate, incoming

traffic streams carrying data at different rates (column 1, lines 14-16, 58-59) as taught

by Davidson.

Knecht discloses serially receiving and transmitting bits of each symbol (column

7, lines 37-42).

Further regarding claim 19, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

the art, at the time of invention by applicant to modify the system of Sonnier and

Davidson to include serially receiving and transmitting bits of each symbol in order to

provide a high speed serial data

As per claim 20, Sonnier discloses data is sent using in-band symbols

[*command/data symbols to be transmitted out of X and Y encoders*; col. 27, lines 30-38]; and

control information is sent via out-of-band symbols [*Y encoder transmits IDLE symbols or other*

symbols used to perform control functions; col. 28, lines 31-35].

As per claims 2 and 21, Sonnier discloses each serial communications link is connected to an accessing device via a point-to-point connection [*TNet links LA connecting routers 14A and 14B are directly connected from one port to the other*; Fig. 1B].

As per claims 5 and 24, Sonnier discloses the memory includes multiple banks and wherein multiple banks can be simultaneously accessed by different ports [*Mcs 26a and 26b run in parallel to provide a path between the memory array and the interfaces 24a, 24b; and one Mc is connected to simultaneously access consecutive even addresses*; Fig. 2; col. 46, lines 7-22].

As per claims 6 and 25, Sonnier discloses each bank includes multiple sections and wherein multiple sections can be simultaneously accessed by different ports [*one Mc is connected to simultaneously access consecutive even addresses; the other Mc is similarly connected to access odd addresses*; Fig. 16; col. 46, lines 23-35].

As per claims 7 and 26, Sonnier discloses multiple sections and wherein multiple sections can be simultaneously accessed by different ports [*one Mc is connected to simultaneously access consecutive even addresses; the other Mc is similarly connected to access odd addresses*; Fig. 16; col. 46, lines 23-35].

As per claims 8 and 27, Sonnier discloses the multiple sections are configurable on a port-by-port basis [*establishing redundant communication paths between any CPUs 12, router 14A', in port 4, out port 3*; col. 13, lines 19-34].

As per claim 28, Sonnier discloses the memory device of claim 27 including the configuration information storage [*ports 4 and 5 may vary from the other ports 0-3 of the router 14*; col. 6-11].

As per claims 10 and 29, Sonnier discloses the ports are connected to the memory using time-division multiplexing [*incoming symbols are buffered and passed to MUX 104*; col. 22, lines 22-30; *each symbol is clocked out and passed to the storage and processing units by MUX 104*; col. 25, lines 2-13].

As per claims 11 and 30, Sonnier discloses the ports are connected to the memory using a crossbar switch [*the routers provide a cross-link path from one end to the other*; Fig. 1A; col. 12, lines 12-28].

As per claims 12 and 31, Sonnier discloses control information is transmitted as a primitive [*X and Y encoders transmit IDLE symbols or other symbols to perform control functions*; col. 28, lines 31-35].

As per claims 13 and 32, Sonnier discloses a primitive includes two out-of-band symbols [*both X and Y encoders transmit IDLE symbols or other symbols to perform control functions*; col. 28, lines 31-35].

As per claims 14 and 33, Sonnier discloses control information includes a synchronization symbol [*SYNC command symbol*; col. 26, lines 18-35].

As per claims 15 and 34, Sonnier discloses the plesiosynchronous technique includes inserting or removing symbols to compensate for variations between clock frequencies of the accessing device and the memory device [*a constant stream of symbols is always being transmitted from all ports*; col. 24, lines 8-61].

As per claim 16, Sonnier discloses the ports share a single multiphase clock generator [*clock generator 654*; Fig. 24; col. 67, lines 1-13].

As per claims 17 and 35, Sonnier discloses the multiphase clock generator is a phase lock loop [*all clock signals are phase-locked to M_Clk*; col. 67, lines 12-14].

As per claims 18 and 36, Sonnier discloses a synchronization symbol encodes a memory command [*command symbols communicates between various CPUs and I/O packets interfaces. Simplifying design, the processor will construct a data structure in memory*; col. 16, lines 39-53].

7.   Claims 3 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Sonnier et al (US 5,574,849) and Davidson et al (US 6,826,199) and Knecht et al (US

5,592,487) and Jeong et al (US 6,229,859).

As per claims 3 and 22, Sonnier and Davidson and Knecht disclose the claimed

invention as detailed above in the previous paragraphs. However, Sonnier and

Davidson and Knecht do not specifically teach oversampling data as recited in the

claims.

Jeong discloses oversampling data for the purpose of synchronizing the

operation of the receiver's clock signal with that of the transmitter (col. 3, lines 49-56).

However, oversampling data is well known in the art for generating an

oversampled data stream for the purpose of synchronizing the operation of the

receiver's clock signal with that of the transmitter as evidenced by Jeong.

Since the technology for oversampling data was well known and since

oversampling data synchronizes the operation of the receiver's clock signal with that of

the transmitter, an artisan would have recognized the advantage of oversampling data

as taught by Jeong in the system of Sonnier.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Jeong's teaching of oversampling data because it was well known to synchronize the operation of the receiver's clock signal with that of the transmitter as taught by Jeong.

8.   Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sonnier et al (US 5,574,849) and Davidson et al (US 6,826,199) and Knecht et al (US 5,592,487) and Lee (US 5,276,642).

As per claim 9, Sonnier discloses the claimed invention as detailed above in the previous paragraphs. However, Sonnier does not specifically teach configuration information enabling certain sections of the bank as recited in the claim.

Lee discloses configuration information enabling certain sections of a bank is well known in the art for the purpose of allowing a split read/write operation of parallel read and write sections (col. 8, lines 30-38).

However, configuration information enabling certain sections of a bank is well known in the art for the purpose of allowing a split read/write operation of parallel read and write sections as evidenced by Lee.

Since the technology for implementing configuration information enabling certain

sections of a bank was well known and since configuration information enabling certain

sections of the bank allows a split read/write operation of parallel read and write

sections, an artisan would have recognized the advantage of implementing

configuration information enabling certain sections of the bank as taught by Lee in the

system of Sonnier.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

the invention to apply Lee's teaching of configuration information enabling certain

sections of the bank because it was well known to allow a split read/write operation of

parallel read and write sections as taught by Lee.

Allowable Subject Matter

9.   Claims 4 and 23 are allowed over the prior art of record.

10.   The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter:

The prior art of record does not teach or suggest "each port includes a line driver

with a fixed driver portion and a variable driver portion for DC-balancing" in combination

with the other elements set forth in the claimed invention.

Conclusion

11.   Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12.   The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 (c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach serially transmitting bits of symbols.

13.   The examiner also requests, in response to this Office action, support be shown

for language added to any original claims on amendment and any new claims. That is,

indicate support for newly added claim language by specifically pointing to page(s) and

line no(s) in the specification and/or drawing figure(s). This will assist the examiner in

prosecuting the application.

14.   When responding to this office action, Applicant is advised to clearly point out the

patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present, in view of the state of the

art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. He or she must also show

how the amendments avoid such references or objections See 37 CFR 1.111(c).

15.   Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Pierre M. Vital whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4215. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 6:00 pm, alternate Fridays

off.

       If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on (571) 272-4210. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

February 14, 2006

PIERRE VITAL
PRIMARY EXAMINER